

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

On the description of electrons on a noncommutative plane threaded by a transversal magnetic field

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 3337 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/35/14/313) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.106 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 10:00

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 (2002) 3337-3341

PII: S0305-4470(02)32653-2

# On the description of electrons on a noncommutative plane threaded by a transversal magnetic field

# E Papp

Department of Physics, North University of Baia Mare, RO-4800 Baia Mare, Romania

Received 10 January 2002 Published 29 March 2002 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/35/3337

#### Abstract

In this paper we deal with the quantum-mechanical description of electrons on a noncommutative plane under the influence of a transversal and homogeneous magnetic field. For this purpose the noncommutativity has been implemented by resorting to the quantum Euclidean space relying on the quantum group  $SO_q(N)$  and to the so-called noncommutative  $\theta$ -formulation, which is familiar in string theory. Matching conditions have been established and discussed.

PACS numbers: 73.43.-f, 03.65.Sq, 11.10.Lm

# 1. Introduction

A quantum-mechanical description of electrons on a noncommutative plane threaded by a transversal and homogeneous magnetic field has been discussed recently [1]. Here the noncommutative coordinates are introduced as

 $[x, y] = i\theta \tag{1}$ 

which proceeds in accordance with string-theory arguments [2]. In general, we have to assume that the noncommutativity parameter  $\theta$  exhibits very small values such as, for example,  $|\theta| \lesssim (10^4 \text{ GeV})^{-2}$  [3]. A similar problem has been considered on the noncommutative torus [4]. Alternative descriptions [5] and relationships between the quantized Hall conductivity and the  $\theta$ -parameter [6] are also worth mentioning. Such issues look promising, even if they are not an absolute novelty. Indeed, the quantization of the Hall conductivity has also been discussed before [7] by using the noncommutative geometry introduced by Connes [8]. Moreover, physical systems such as the hydrogen atom [9, 10] and the harmonic oscillator [11, 12] have been discussed in some detail on the noncommutative quantum Euclidean space. In this latter case, both commutation relations and the metric tensor are established by virtue of the Rmatrix description of the quantum group  $SO_q(N)$ , where N denotes the number of space dimensions [13]. A radial reduction of the covariant  $SO_q(N)$ -derivative has been done, which results in the onset of the Jackson derivative [14, 15]. Accordingly, well-defined q-deformed versions of the radial Schrödinger equations have been written down [16, 17]. Now the related deformation parameter is denoted by q, which has to be viewed (excepting generic cases in which q is a pure phase) as being very near to unity, i.e.

$$q = \exp \gamma \stackrel{\sim}{=} 1 + \gamma. \tag{2}$$

Now the question arises how to compare the  $\theta$ - and q-noncommutative descriptions mentioned above. For this purpose we perform the description of electrons on the quantum Euclidean plane, which proceeds under the influence of a transversal magnetic field  $\vec{B} = (0, 0, B)$ . This opens the way to establishing quite a meaningful relationship between  $\theta$ - and q-parameters, now in terms of the matching condition for the energy.

#### 2. Noncommutative preliminaries and q-deformations

The commutation relations characterizing the three-dimensional quantum Euclidean space are given by [13]

$$x_1 x_2 = q x_2 x_1 \tag{3}$$

$$x_2 x_3 = q x_3 x_2 \tag{4}$$

and

$$x_1 x_3 - x_3 x_1 = \frac{1-q}{\sqrt{q}} x_2 x_2.$$
(5)

Inserting  $x_1 = (x + iy)/\sqrt{2}$  and  $x_3 = (x - iy)/\sqrt{2}$  into (5) gives

$$[x, y] = -2\mathbf{i} \sinh \frac{\gamma}{2} x_2 x_2 \tag{6}$$

in which  $x_2$  corresponds to the *z*-coordinate. Comparing (1) and (6) yields the intermediary result

$$\theta = -2\sinh\frac{\gamma}{2}x_2x_2\tag{7}$$

which also shows that  $x_2x_2$  remains to be fixed. Keeping in mind this conjecture, we then deal properly with electrons on a selected noncommutative quantum plane which is perpendicular to the direction of the magnetic field, i.e. with a problem in 2 + 1 space dimensions. Of course, equations (3)–(5) reproduce the usual commutative coordinates as soon as  $q \rightarrow 1$ . Such behaviours can be traced back to the noncommutative space-time proposed long ago by Snyder [18]. In this latter case, the usual coordinates are reproduced if the 'elementary length' tends to zero, which agrees with equation (1). The  $\theta$ -parameter can be viewed, of course, as the square of related 'elementary length'  $l_0$ , i.e.  $\theta = l_0^2$  or  $\theta = -l_0^2$ .

The radial coordinate is introduced by

$$r^2 = C_{ij} x^i x^j = x^i x_i \tag{8}$$

where  $C_{ij}$  is the metric tensor, so that  $[r^2, x_i] = 0$  [13]. This enables us to perform the radial reduction of the covariant derivative  $\partial_i$  as

$$\partial_i = \frac{x_i}{r} \partial_r^{(q)} \tag{9}$$

in which case

$$\partial_r^{(q)} f(r) = \frac{\mu}{q+1} \frac{d_q f(r)}{d_q r} = \frac{\mu}{q+1} \frac{f(qr) - f(r)}{r(q-1)}$$
(10)

where  $\mu = 1 + q^{2-N}$ . We are then ready to define the  $SO_q(N)$ -deformed counterpart of the reduced radial Schrödinger equation as (see section 3 in [17])

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{q}{(q+1)^2} (q^L + q^{-L})^2 \partial_r^{(q)^2} - \frac{\mu^2 q^2}{(q+1)^4} [[-2l - N + 1]]_q [[2l + N - 3]]_q \frac{1}{r^2} \\ + V(r) \end{bmatrix} \varphi_q(r) = \mathcal{E}_q \varphi_q(r)$$
(11)

where L = l + (N-2)/2 and where l = 0, 1, 2, ... denotes the quantum number of the angular momentum, as usual. One has, in general,  $N \ge 2$ , but extrapolations to N = 1 can also be done. The pertinent quantum numbers are defined as

$$[[n]]_q = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \equiv q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} [n]_{\sqrt{q}}.$$
(12)

Choosing, for example, the harmonic oscillator and inserting  $V(r) = \omega^2 r^2$  gives the q-deformed energy [12, 19]

$$\mathcal{E}_{\gamma} = \frac{\mu\omega}{(q+1)q^{d_0}} [[2d_0^O]]_q = \frac{\mu\omega}{q} [d_0^O]_q \tag{13}$$

where  $d_0^O = l + 2n_r + N/2$  denotes the principal quantum number, whereas  $n_r = 0, 1, 2, ...$  represents the radial quantum number. This result will be invoked in the next section. Other *q*-deformed radial equations can be readily established for related wavefunctions such as

$$\psi_q(r) = r^l f_q(r) = r^{l+\delta} \varphi_q(r) \tag{14}$$

where  $q^{\delta} = (1 + q^{-2L})/(q + 1)$ .

# 3. Two-dimensional electrons under the influence of the magnetic field

The classical Hamiltonian describing two-dimensional electrons under the influence of a transversal and homogeneous magnetic field reads

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2m_0} (\vec{p} + e\vec{A})^2$$
(15)

where e > 0. We choose the vector potential in the symmetric gauge as

$$\vec{A} = \left(-\frac{B}{2}y, \frac{B}{2}x, 0\right). \tag{16}$$

Inserting the wavefunction

$$\Phi(\vec{x}) = \exp(im\varphi_0)\frac{\varphi(\rho)}{\sqrt{\rho}}$$
(17)

into the Schrödinger equation  $\mathcal{H}\Phi = E\Phi$  gives the reduced dimensionless radial equation for an harmonic oscillator

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\varphi}{\mathrm{d}\xi^2} + \frac{m^2 - 1/4}{\xi^2}\varphi + \lambda_0^2\xi^2\varphi = \mathcal{E}\varphi$$
(18)

where  $\rho = a\xi, \varphi(\xi) \in \{L_2(0, \infty), d\xi\},\$ 

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{2m_0 a^2}{\hbar^2} E^O \tag{19}$$

and

$$E^{O} = E - \frac{m}{2}\hbar\omega_{c}.$$
(20)

The cyclotron frequency is  $\omega_c = eB/m_0$  and

$$\lambda_0^2 = \frac{e^2 B^2 a^4}{4\hbar^2} = \frac{a^4}{4l_c^2 l_Q^2}.$$
(21)

The magnetic quantum number exhibits the values  $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ , whereas  $\rho$  and  $\varphi_0$  are the polar coordinates characterizing the classical (x, y)-plane. It is also clear that 'a' is an arbitrary length scale,  $l_C = \hbar/m_0 c$  denotes the Compton wavelength, while  $l_O = c/\omega_c$  is a typical length characterizing the harmonic oscillator in equation (18). This latter equation is well known in the literature and general solutions have been written down in terms of Laguerre polynomials [20]. Now one has L = |m| and N = 2, so that l = |m|. The principal quantum number is then given by

$$d_0 = d_0^O = |m| + 2n_r + 1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
(22)

A standard harmonic oscillator, say  $\omega_0^2 \xi^2$ , can also be inserted into equation (18), which amounts to the substitution

$$\omega_c^2 \to \Omega_c^2 = \omega_c^2 + 4\omega_0^2. \tag{23}$$

Using equations (13) and (22), we can then say that the q-deformed counterpart of  $E^{O}$  is

$$E_{\gamma}^{O} = \frac{\hbar}{2q} \Omega_c [d_0^O]_q = \frac{\hbar}{2} \Omega_c \frac{\sinh(\gamma d_0^O)}{q \sinh(\gamma)}.$$
(24)

It is understood that the q-deformation of the classical eigenfunction [20]

$$\varphi(\xi) = \left[\frac{2\Gamma(n_r+1)}{\Gamma(|m|+n_r+1)}\right]^{1/2} \lambda_0^{(|m|+1/2)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}\xi^2\right) L_{n_r}^{(|m|)}(\lambda_0\xi^2)$$
(25)

remains to be done in terms of q-Laguerre polynomials [15].

On the other hand, the Hamiltonian (15) has been discussed recently within the noncommutative  $\theta$ -description [21]. This enables us to say that the  $\theta$ -counterpart of  $E^{O}$  is given by

$$E_{\theta}^{O} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \Omega_{c} d_{0}^{O} \left[ 1 + \frac{\theta}{2l_{c}l_{O}} + \left(\frac{\theta}{4l_{c}l_{O}}\right)^{2} \Gamma_{0} \right]^{1/2}$$
(26)

by virtue of equation (32) in [21], where  $\Gamma_0 = 1 + 4\omega_0^2/\omega_c^2$ . This time the energy-splitting term has not been accounted for, as one deals selectively with the Hamiltonian of a radial harmonic oscillator. Alternatively, we can assume that m = 0, in which case the splitting term is ruled out from the very beginning. Now one realizes immediately that the matching condition

$$E^{O}_{\theta} = E^{O}_{\gamma} \tag{27}$$

is fulfilled if

$$\theta = \theta_{\pm} = \frac{4l_c l_o}{\Gamma_0} [-1 \pm (1 - 2\gamma \Gamma_0)^{1/2}]$$
(28)

which works to first  $\gamma$ -order. Choosing  $\theta = \theta_+$  gives

$$\theta = \theta_+ \cong -4\gamma l_C l_O. \tag{29}$$

One would then obtain

$$x_2 x_2 \stackrel{\sim}{=} 4 l_C l_0 \tag{30}$$

by virtue of equation (7), which indicates that the quantum noncommutative plane should be located at

$$z = z_0 \cong 2\sqrt{l_C l_0} \tag{31}$$

which represents an unexpected finding. An 'elementary length' could also be proposed via  $\theta_+ \cong -l_0^2$ , in which case

$$l_0 \cong \sqrt{\gamma} z_0 \tag{32}$$

which may be of interest from a general theoretical point of view.

3340

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper we have discussed certain details concerning the description of electrons on a noncommutative plane threaded by a perpendicular and homogeneous magnetic field. For this purpose the noncommutative quantum Euclidean description has been analysed versus the noncommutative  $\theta$ -description. Selecting the Hamiltonian with the quadratic interaction, we found that both descriptions produce the same energy if underlying deformation parameters, i.e.  $\gamma$  and  $\theta$ , are inter-related, such as given by equation (28). We can then say that under the influence of noncommutativity, the principal quantum number  $d_0^O$  characterizing the equivalent two-dimensional harmonic oscillator becomes deformed as

$$d_0^0 \to d_{\gamma}^0 = \frac{1}{q} [d_0^0]_q \tag{33}$$

and

$$d_0^O \to d_\theta^O = d_0^O \sqrt{1 + \frac{\theta}{2l_C l_O} + \left(\frac{\theta}{4l_C l_O}\right)^2 \Gamma_0}.$$
(34)

In addition, we have also found that the location of the noncommutative plane becomes well established by virtue of equation (31), so that  $z_0 \rightarrow \infty$  if  $B \rightarrow 0$ .

# Acknowledgments

I would like to thank CNCSIS/Bucharest for financial support, and I would also like to thank H D Doebner, W Bestgen and M Visinescu for interesting discussions.

#### References

- [1] Dayi Ö F and Jellal A 2001 Phys. Lett. A 287 349
- [2] Seiberg N and Witten E 1999 J. High Energy Phys. JHEP09(1999)032
- [3] Chaichian M, Sheikh-Jabari M M and Tureanu A 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 2716
- [4] Morariu B and Polychronakos A P 2001 Nucl. Phys. B 610 531
- [5] Nair V P and Polychronakos A P 2001 Phys. Lett. B 505 267
- [6] Susskind L 2001 The quantum hall fluid and non-commutative Chern Simons theory Preprint hep-th/0101029
- [7] Bellisard J, Van Elst A and Schulz-Baldes H 1994 J. Math. Phys. 35 5373
- [8] Connes A 1990 Géométrie Non Commutative (Paris: InterEditions)
- [9] Song X C and Liao L 1992 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 25 623
- [10] Feigenbaum G and Freund P G O 1996 J. Math. Phys. 37 1602
- [11] Fiore G 1993 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 8 4679
- [12] Watamura U C and Watamura S 1994 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 9 3989
- [13] Reshetikhin N Yu, Takhtadzhyan L A and Faddeev L D 1990 Leningrad Math. J. 1 193
- [14] Jackson M A 1909 Mess. Math. 38 57
- [15] Gasper G and Rahman M 1990 Basic Hypergeometric Series (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [16] Papp E 1995 Phys. Rev. A 52 101
- [17] Papp E 1997 Derivation of q-Analogs for the Radial Schrödinger Equation in N Space Dimensions (New York: Nova Science)
- [18] Snyder H S 1947 Phys. Rev. 71 38
- [19] Papp E 1996 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 1795
- [20] Kostelecky V A and Russell N 1996 J. Math. Phys. 37 2166
- [21] Jellal A 2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 10159